

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,407	12/14/2001	Minoru Suzuki	011622	9744
23850	7590 12/04/2002			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			SOWARD, IDA M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2822	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				_		
~ j		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	_	10/014,407	SUZUKI ET AL.			
4-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Ida M Soward	2822			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspond nce address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	September 2002 .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		٠		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3 and 5</u> is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.				
9)🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,			
10)🖾 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 2001</u> is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	•			
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu see the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language proacknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment	c(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

'Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicants' amendment filed September 16, 2002.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:

35a and 35b in Figure 5c-5d and 6. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

Claims 3 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: **the** should have been after "**on**" in lines 20 and 2, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear as to how the first and second base layers are positioned on one side surface and the other side surface of the semiconductor substrate so as to form PN planar junctions with the first and second buried layers along the first and second base layers and the first and second buried layers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takizawa (5,962,878).

As best understood, Takizawa teaches a semiconductor device having, when one of wither an N-type or P-type is defined as a first conductivity type, and the other is provided as a second conductivity type, a semiconductor substrate **2** of the first conductivity type, the semiconductor device comprising: first and second buried layers

'Art Unit: 2822

being of a higher concentration than the semiconductor substrate; first and second emitter layers 18 of the first conductivity type; first and second base layers 20 of the second conductivity type; and a substrate layer is sandwiched between the first and second buried layers, wherein the first and second base layers are positioned on one side surface and the other side surface of the semiconductor substrate so as to form PN planar junctions with the first and second buried layers along the first and second base layers and the first and second buried layers, wherein the first and second emitter layers are located in a vicinity of a surface of inside of the first and second base layers so as to form PN junctions with the first and second base layers, wherein at least a part of the first and second base layers are respectively provided between the first and second emitter layers and the first and second buried layers, and wherein at least a part of the first and second buried layers are located between the first and second base layers and the substrate layer (Figure 1, cols. 9-10, lines 53-67 and 1-55, respectively).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takizawa (5,962,878) in view of Ohta (5,352,905).

Art Unit: 2822

Takizawa teaches all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Takizawa fails to teach emitter and base layers being electrically short-circuited by metal films. Ohta teaches a first metal film T_1 formed on one side of the semiconductor substrate P, and a second metal film T_2 formed on the other side of the semiconductor substrate, and the first emitter layer P_1 and the first base layer N_1 being electrically short-circuited by the first metal film, and the second emitter layer P_2 and the second base layer N_2 being electrically short-circuited by the second metal film (Figure 1B, cols. 4-6, lines 49-68, 1-68 and 1-41, respectively). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the surge protection device of Takizawa with the metal films of Ohta to provide a semiconductor surge suppressor having improved breaking and surge operation performances.

Claims 3, 6, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takizawa (5,962,878) and Ohta (5,352,905) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Assour et al. (4,292,646).

Takizawa and Ohta teach all mentioned in the rejection above. Takizawa further teaches first and second moats 16 with bottom surfaces reaching the buried layers formed on both sides of the semiconductor substrate and reaching positions deeper than the bottom surfaces of the base layers, wherein the first and second emitter layers are located inside of the first and second moats; at least a part of the first and second buried layers positioned at a region on the outside of the first and second moats of the surfaces of the semiconductor substrate; and a step of forming moats including the first

Art Unit: 2822

and second emitter layers inside of the moats on both sides of the semiconductor substrate (Figure 1). However, Takizawa and Ohta fail to teach ring-shaped moats. Assour et al. teach a ring-shaped moat **69** (Figure 2, col. 4, lines 9-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the surge protection device of Takizawa and the metal films of Ohta with the ring-shaped moat of Assour et al. to provide lateral isolation from the adjacent region.

Claims 4, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takizawa (5,962,878), Ohta (5,352,905) and Assour et al. (4,292,646) as applied to claims 2-3 above, and further in view of Planey (3,772,577).

Takizawa, Ohta and Assour et al. teach all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Takizawa, Ohta and Assour et al. fail to teach moats filled with oxide. Planey teaches moats 12 filed with oxide (Figure 3 col. 2, lines 30-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the surge protection device of Takizawa and the metal films of Ohta and the ring-shaped moat of Assour et al. with the oxide filled moats of Planey to reduce junction.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takizawa (5,962,878), Ohta (5,352,905) and Assour et al. (4,292,646) as applied to claims 2-3 above, and further in view of Casey et al. (US 6,448,589 B1).

Art Unit: 2822

Takizawa, Ohta and Assour et al. teach all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Takizawa, Ohta and Assour et al. fail to teach at least part of the base layers 48 & 49 positioned at a region on the outside of the moats 76 & 79 of the surface of the semiconductor substrate 42 & 66 (Figure 2, col. 4-6, all lines). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the surge protection device of Takizawa and the metal films of Ohta and the ring-shaped moat of Assour et al. with the positioning of the base layers of Casey et al. to provide sufficient thermal migration into the semiconductor material.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respects to surge protection devices:

Chen et al. (4,800,420)

Hayashi et al. (5,371,385)

Hayashi et al. (5,376,809)

Hayashi et al. (5,486,709)

Misawa et al. (4,484,214)

Saitou (5,343,065).

'Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims November 20, 2002

AMIR ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800